Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry

ISSN 0340 - 6253

On Some Spectral, Vertex and Edge Degree–Based Graph Invariants

I. Ž. Milovanović, V. M. Ćirić, I. Z. Milentijević, E. I. Milovanović

Faculty of Electronic Engineering, Beogradska 14, P.O.Box 73, 18000 Niš, Serbia {igor,ciric,milentijevic,ema}@elfak.ni.ac.rs

(Received January 18, 2016)

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph of order $n \geq 2$, with m edges and with no isolated vertices. Denote by d_i vertex degree, by $d(e_i)$ edge degree, by λ_i ordinary eigenvalues, by μ_i the Laplacian eigenvalues and by ρ_i the normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph G. The sums $Q_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$, $EQ_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d(e_i)^{\alpha}$, $R_{\alpha} = \sum_{i\sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha}$, $E_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i|^{\alpha}$, $S_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^{\alpha}$ and $S_{\alpha}^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_i^{\alpha}$ are special cases of the sum $A_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i^{\alpha}$, where $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a_i are real numbers with the property $0 < r \leq a_i \leq R < +\infty$. We first prove new inequalities for A_{α} . Then some special cases are illustrated.

1 Introduction

Let G = (V, E), $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $E = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_m\}$ be a simple graph, without isolated vertices, of order n and size m. Denote by $d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \cdots \ge d_n > 0$, $d_i = d(i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, and $d(e_1) \ge d(e_2) \ge \cdots \ge d(e_m) > 0$ a sequence of vertex and edge degrees, respectively. The degree of an edge $e = \{i, j\}$ is defined as $d(e) = d_i + d_j - 2$. If i-th and j-th vertices (edges) of graph G are adjacent, we denote it as $i \sim j$ $(e_i \sim e_j)$.

In the text that follows we give definitions of some degree-based topological indices that are of interest for our work.

The first general Zagreb index (or general zeroth-order Randić index [26]), Q_{α} , is defined as

$$Q_{\alpha} = Q_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{\alpha} = \sum_{i \sim j} \left(d_{i}^{\alpha-1} + d_{j}^{\alpha-1} \right),$$

where α is an arbitrary real number (see [24, 25]). Particularly interesting for us are the first Zagreb index $M_1 = Q_2$,

$$M_1 = M_1(G) = Q_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i + d_j)$$

and so called forgotten topological index, $F_1 = Q_3$,

$$F_1 = F_1(G) = Q_3 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^3 = \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i^2 + d_j^2),$$

defined in [17] (see also [11, 14]).

The generalized Randić index (or connectivity index [22]), R_{α} , is defined as

$$R_{\alpha} = R_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} (d_i d_j)^{\alpha},$$

where α is an arbitrary real number defined in [3] (see also [10, 27, 29]). Especially interesting are the ordinary Randić index, $R_{-1/2}$ [35], the general Randić index, R_{-1} [5, 42], and the second Zagreb index $M_2 = R_1$ [17]

$$M_2 = M_2(G) = R_1 = \sum_{i \sim j} d_i d_j.$$

The first reformulated general Zagreb index is defined as [41]

$$EQ_{\alpha} = EQ_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} d(e_i)^{\alpha}.$$

Here we are interested in the reformulated first Zagreb index $EM_1 = EM_1(G) = EQ_2(G)$ (see [30]) and reformulated forgotten topological index, $EF_1 = EF_1(G) = EQ_3$ (see for example [11]).

Let L(G) be a line-graph of the underlining graph G. Then in accordance with the definition of a line-graph (see [7]) we have that

$$EQ_{\alpha}(G) = Q_{\alpha}(L(G)). \tag{1}$$

In the text that follows we recall some graph invariants that are of interest for the subsequent considerations.

Denote by **A** the adjacency matrix of *G*. The eigenvalues of adjacency matrix **A**, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$, represent ordinary eigenvalues of the graph *G*. Some well known properties of graph eigenvalues are [1]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} = 2m.$$

Denote by $|\lambda_1^*| \ge |\lambda_2^*| \ge \cdots \ge |\lambda_n^*|$, $\lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*|$, a non increasing sequence of absolute values of the eigenvalues of G. The graph invariant called energy, E(G), of G is defined to be the sum of the absolute values of the eigenvalues of G [15] (see also [23]), i.e.

$$E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i^*|.$$

Denote by

$$E_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i^*|^{\alpha}$$

sum of degrees of non-zero ordinary eigenvalues of G, where α is an arbitrary real number.

Let G be a simple connected graph with the Laplacian eigenvalues $\mu_1 \ge \mu_2 \cdots \ge \mu_{n-1} > \mu_n = 0$. Some well known properties of the Laplacian eigenvalues are (see [7])

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = M_1 + 2m.$$

The sum of degrees of Laplacian eigenvalues of the graph G is denoted with (see [40])

$$S_{\alpha} = S_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^{\alpha},$$

where α is an arbitrary real number.

The Kirchhoff index [16] is a graph invariant defined in terms of Laplacian eigenvalues as

$$Kf(G) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\mu_i} = nS_{-1}.$$

The normalized Laplacian eigenvalues, $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2 \ge \cdots \ge \rho_{n-1} > \rho_n = 0$, of graph G have the following properties [42]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_i = n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho_i^2 = n + 2R_{-1}.$$

The sum of degrees of normalized Laplacian eigenvalues [2] of the graph G is denoted by

$$S^*_{\alpha} = S^*_{\alpha}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho^{\alpha}_i,$$

where α is an arbitrary real number. The degree Kirchhoff index [6] is defined in terms of the normalized Laplacian eigenvalues as

$$DKf(G) = 2m \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\rho_i}.$$

-180-

Instead of considering sums Q_{α} , EQ_{α} , R_{α} , E_{α} , S_{α} and S_{α}^{*} , it is enough to consider the sum

$$A_{\alpha} = A_{\alpha}(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} a_{i}^{\alpha}, \quad A_{0} = t,$$
 (2)

where $t \in \mathbb{N}$, while $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, are positive real numbers with the properties

$$0 < r \le a_i \le R < +\infty, \quad 1 \le i \le t$$

or

$$0 < r_1 \le a_i \le R_1 < +\infty, \quad 2 \le i \le t,$$

and α is an arbitrary real number. Namely, by the appropriate choice of parameter t and real numbers a_i , each of the above mentioned sums can be obtained. Therefore in the text that follows we will prove new inequalities that are valid for the sum (2). Then, for the sake of illustration we will point out to some special cases.

2 Main result

Theorem 1 Let $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_t > 0$ be real numbers with the property $0 < r \le a_i \le R < +\infty$. Then for each real α the following inequality is valid

$$A_{\alpha+1} \le (r+R)A_{\alpha} - rRA_{\alpha-1} \tag{3}$$

with equality if and only if for some $v, 1 \le v \le t$, hold $R = a_1 = \cdots = a_v$ and $a_{v+1} = \cdots = a_t = r$.

Proof. For the positive real numbers p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t and x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t with the properties

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i = 1 \quad \text{and } 0 < X \le x_i \le Y < +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, t$$

in [36] the following inequality was proved

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i x_i + XY \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{p_i}{x_i} \le X + Y.$$
(4)

For $p_i = \frac{a_i^{\alpha}}{A_{\alpha}}$, $x_i = a_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., t, X = r and Y = R, where α is an arbitrary real number, the inequality (4) transforms into

$$\frac{1}{A_{\alpha}}\sum_{i=1}^{t}a_{i}^{\alpha+1}+\frac{rR}{A_{\alpha}}\sum_{i=1}^{t}a_{i}^{\alpha-1}\leq r+R$$

i.e.

$$A_{\alpha+1} + rRA_{\alpha-1} \le (r+R)A_{\alpha},\tag{5}$$

wherefrom we obtain (3).

Equality in (4) holds if and only if for some $v, 1 \le v \le t$, hold $Y = x_1 = \cdots = x_v$ and $x_{v+1} = \cdots = x_t = X$, hence the equalities in (3) hold if and only if for some $v, 1 \le v \le t$, hold $R = a_1 = \cdots = a_v$ and $a_{v+1} = \cdots = a_t = r$.

Corollary 1 Let $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_t > 0$ are real numbers with the property $0 < r \le a_i \le R < +\infty$. Then, for every real α

$$A_{\alpha+1} \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{R}} \right)^2 \frac{A_{\alpha}^2}{A_{\alpha-1}}.$$
 (6)

Equality holds if and only if $r = a_1 = \cdots = a_t = R$.

Proof. According to AG (arithmetic-geometric mean) inequality (see for example [34]) and (5) we have

$$2\sqrt{rRA_{\alpha+1}A_{\alpha-1}} \le A_{\alpha+1} + rRA_{\alpha-1} \le (r+R)A_{\alpha}$$

wherefrom we obtain (6).

Let $(W_i), W_0 = 0$, be a sequence of real numbers defined as

$$W_i = \begin{cases} \frac{R^i - r^i}{R - r}, & \text{if } r \neq R\\ iR^{i-1}, & \text{if } r = R, \end{cases}$$

for every $i, i \geq 1$.

Using the sequence $(W_i), i \in N_0$, and the sum A_{α} we prove the following result.

Theorem 2 Let $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_t > 0$ be real numbers with the property $0 < r \le a_i \le R < +\infty$. Then for each nonnegative integer $k \ge 0$, the following inequalities are valid

$$A_{k+1} \le W_{k+1}A_1 - trRW_k,\tag{7}$$

and

$$A_{k+1} \le W_k A_2 - r R W_{k-1} A_1. \tag{8}$$

Equalities hold if and only if $R = a_1 = \cdots = a_v$ and $a_{v+1} = \cdots = a_t = r$, for some $v, 1 \le v \le t$. In addition, the equality in (7) holds if k = 0 and in (8) if k = 1.

Proof. According to (5), for each $i \ge 1$ the following is valid

$$A_{i+1} - (r+R)A_i + rRA_{i-1} \le 0.$$

After multiplying the above inequality by W_{k-i+1} and summing up over i, i = 1, 2, ..., k, we get

$$0 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} (A_{i+1} - (r+R)A_i + rRA_{i-1})W_{k-i+1} = W_1A_{k+1} + (W_2 - (r+R)W_1)A_k + (rRW_{k-1} - (r+R)W_k)A_1 + rRW_kA_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} (W_{k-i+1} - (r+R)W_{k-i} + rRW_{k-i-1})A_{i+1}.$$

Since for each $i \ge 1$ we have

$$W_{i+1} - (r+R)W_i + rRW_{i-1} = 0,$$

from the above inequality we get

$$0 \ge A_{k+1} - W_{k+1}A_1 + trRW_k,$$

wherefrom we obtain (7).

Remark 1 If for some fixed $i, 1 \le i \le k-1$, values A_i and A_{i-1} are known, then instead of the inequality (7) (i.e. (8)), the inequality

$$A_{k+1} \le W_{k-i+2}A_i - rRW_{k-i+1}A_{i-1}$$

should be used. This inequality can be proved similarly as (7). It becomes stronger as i increases.

Remark 2 If a_1 is known or can be easily assessed, and for $a_2 \ge a_3 \ge \cdots \ge a_t > 0$ holds $0 < r_1 \le a_i \le R_1 < +\infty$, then the following inequalities

$$A_{\alpha+1} \leq a_1^{\alpha+1} + (r_1 + R_1)(A_\alpha - a_1^\alpha) - r_1 R_1 (A_{\alpha-1} - a_1^{\alpha-1}), \tag{9}$$

$$A_{\alpha+1} \leq a_1^{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_1}{r_1}} + \sqrt{\frac{r_1}{R_1}} \right) \frac{(A_\alpha - a_1^\alpha)^2}{A_{\alpha-1} - a_1^{\alpha-1}}, \tag{10}$$

$$A_{k+1} \leq a_1^{k+1} + \bar{W}_{k+1}(A_1 - a_1) - (t-1)r_1R_1\bar{W}_k, \tag{11}$$

$$A_{k+1} \leq a_1^{k+1} + \bar{W}_k(A_2 - a_1^2) - r_1 R_1 \bar{W}_{k-1}(A_1 - a_1),$$
(12)

where

$$\bar{W}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{R_1^i - r_1^i}{R_1 - r_1}, & \text{if } R_1 \neq r_1\\ iR_1^{i-1}, & \text{if } R_1 = r_1 \end{cases}$$

should be considered. Equalities in (9), (11) and (12) hold if and only if $R_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_v$ and $a_{v+1} = \cdots = a_t = r_1$ for some $v, 2 \le v \le t$, whereas equality in (10) hold if and only if $R_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_t = r_1$. In addition, equality in (11) holds if k = 0, and in (12) if k = 1.

Now we will illustrate obtained results on some examples.

For t = n, $a_i = d_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, $r = d_n$ and $R = d_1$, the following corollaries of the obtained results are valid.

Corollary 2 Let G be a simple graph of order $n \ge 3$, with m edges and without isolated vertices. Then

$$Q_{\alpha+1} \leq (d_1+d_n)Q_\alpha - d_1d_nQ_{\alpha-1}, \tag{13}$$

$$Q_{\alpha+1} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_1}} \right)^2 \frac{Q_{\alpha}^2}{Q_{\alpha-1}}, \tag{14}$$

$$Q_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{k+1} \le 2m \frac{d_1^{k+1} - d_n^{k+1}}{d_1 - d_n} - nd_1 d_n \frac{d_1^k - d_n^k}{d_1 - d_n},$$
(15)

$$Q_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{k+1} \le M_1 \frac{d_1^k - d_n^k}{d_1 - d_n} - 2md_1 d_n \frac{d_1^{k-1} - d_n^{k-1}}{d_1 - d_n}.$$
 (16)

Equalities in (13), (15) and (16) hold if and only if G is regular or bidegreed graph. Equality in (14) holds if and only if G is regular. In addition, equality in (15) holds if k = 0, and in (16) if k = 1.

Remark 3 For $\alpha = 1$ from (13) (i.e. k = 1 in (15)) we get

$$M_1 \le 2m(d_1 + d_n) - nd_1d_n$$

This inequality was proved in [9] (see also [18, 19, 21, 24]).

For $\alpha = 2$ from (13) and k = 2 in (15) we get

$$F_1 \le M_1(d_1 + d_n) - 2md_1d_n \tag{17}$$

and

$$F_1 \le 2m(d_1^2 + d_1d_n + d_n^2) - nd_1d_n(d_1 + d_n).$$

-184-

The inequality (17) was proved in [41] (see also [20]).

Since $2M_2 \leq F_1$ and $2R_{-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i}$, the following inequalities

$$M_{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}(M_{1}(d_{1}+d_{n})-2md_{1}d_{n}) \leq d_{1}M_{1}-md_{1}d_{n},$$

$$M_{2} \leq (2m(d_{1}^{2}+d_{1}d_{n}+d_{n}^{2})-nd_{1}d_{n}(d_{1}+d_{n}))$$
(18)

and

$$R_{-1} \le \frac{2m(d_1 + d_n) - M_1}{2d_1d_n}$$

are also valid. The second inequality in (18) was proved in [37].

Remark 4 For $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$ from (14) follows

$$M_1 \le \frac{m^2}{n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_1}} \right)^2,\tag{19}$$

and

$$F_1 \le \frac{M_1^2}{8m} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_1}} \right)^2,$$

i.e.

$$M_2 \le \frac{M_1^2}{16m} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_1}} \right)^2.$$

The inequality (19) was proved in [28] (see also [12, 19, 38]). Additionally, for $\alpha = 0$ from (14) we get

$$R_{-1} \le \frac{n^2}{16m} \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_1}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_1}} \right)^2.$$

Let us note that one generalization of inequality (19) was proved in [33].

Remark 5 In [8] the following inequality was proved

$$Q_{\alpha+1} \le \frac{2m}{n} Q_{\alpha} + \frac{2m(n-1)}{n} (d_1^{\alpha} - d_n^{\alpha}) - \frac{2m}{n} Q_2 (d_1^{\alpha} - d_n^{\alpha})$$

The inequality (13) is stronger than the above one when $G \cong P_n$, $G \cong K_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ (n is even), $G \cong K_{1,n-1}$ and when G is bidegreed graph.

Remark 6 Let t = n, $a_i = |\lambda_i^*|$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, $r = |\lambda_n^*|$, $R = |\lambda_1^*|$ and $\alpha = 1$. According to (3) and (6) we get

$$E \ge \frac{2m+n|\lambda_1^*||\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_1^*|+|\lambda_n^*|},\tag{20}$$

and

$$E \ge \frac{2\sqrt{2mn|\lambda_1^*||\lambda_n^*|}}{|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}.$$
(21)

The inequality (20) was proved in [32], and (21) in [13].

Remark 7 For t = n - 1, $a_i = \mu_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, $r = \mu_{n-1}$, $R = \mu_1$ and $\alpha = 0$, according to (3) and (6) we get

$$Kf(G) \le \frac{n((n-1)(\mu_1 + \mu_{n-1}) - 2m)}{\mu_1\mu_{n-1}}$$

and

$$Kf(G) \le \frac{n(n-1)^2}{8m} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_{n-1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_1}}\right)^2$$

The first inequality was proved in [31] whereas the second one in [12].

Remark 8 For t = n - 1, $a_i = \rho_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, $r = \rho_{n-1}$, $R = \rho_1$ and $\alpha = 0$, according to (3) and (6) we get

$$DKf(G) \le \frac{2m((n-1)(\rho_1 + \rho_{n-1}) - n)}{\rho_1 \rho_{n-1}}$$

and

$$DKf(G) \le \frac{m(n-1)^2}{n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_{n-1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{n-1}}{\rho_1}}\right)^2$$

The above inequalities were proved in [31].

Remark 9 For t = n - 1, $a_i = \rho_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, $r = \rho_{n-1}$, $R = \rho_1$ and $\alpha = 1$, according to (3) and (6) we have that

$$R_{-1} \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\rho_1 + \rho_{n-1} - 1 \right) n + (n-1)\rho_1 \rho_{n-1} \right)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_{n-1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{n-1}}{\rho_1}} \ge \frac{2}{n}\sqrt{(n-1)(n+2R_{-1})}.$$

The second inequality was proved in [4].

Remark 10 For t = 2m, $a_i = d_i d_j$, $i \sim j$, $R = p = \max_{i \sim j} \{d_i d_j\}$, $r = q = \min_{i \sim j} \{d_i d_j\}$ and $\alpha = 0$, from (3) and (6) we get

$$M_2 \le 2m(p+q) - pqR_{-1} \tag{22}$$

and

$$M_2 \le \frac{m^2}{R_{-1}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{p}{q}} + \sqrt{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^2.$$
(23)

Equality in (22) holds if and only if G is regular or complete bipartite graph. Equality in (23) holds if and only if G is a regular graph.

Remark 11 For t = n - 1, $a_i = \mu_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, $r = \mu_{n-1}$, $R = \mu_1$ and $\alpha = 1$, from (3) and (6) we get

$$M_1 \le 2m(\mu_1 + \mu_{n-1} - 1) - (n-1)\mu_1\mu_{n-1}$$

and

$$M_1 \le \frac{m^2}{n-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_{n-1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{n-1}}{\mu_1}}\right)^2 - 2m.$$

The second inequality was proved in [13] and [39].

Remark 12 For t = m, $a_i = d(e_i)$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, $r = 2(d_n - 1)$, $R = 2(d_1 - 1)$ and $\alpha = 1$, from (3) and (6) we get

$$EM_1 \le 2(d_1 + d_n - 2)M_1 - 4m(d_1d_n - 1),$$

and

$$EM_1 \le \frac{(d_1 + d_n - 2)^2}{4m(d_1 - 1)(d_n - 1)}(M_1 - 2m)^2.$$

The above inequalities were proved in [10].

Remark 13 For t = n, $a_i = d_i$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, $r_1 = d_n$, $R_1 = d_2$ and $\alpha = 1$, from (9) and (10) we get

$$M_1 \le d_1^2 + (d_2 + d_n)(2m - d_1) - d_2 d_n(n - 1),$$
(24)

and

$$M_1 \le d_1^2 + \left(\sqrt{\frac{d_2}{d_n}} + \sqrt{\frac{d_n}{d_2}}\right)^2 \frac{(2m - d_1)^2}{4}.$$
(25)

Equality in (24) holds if and only if $d_2 = \cdots = d_v$ and $d_{v+1} = \cdots = d_n$, for some v, $2 \le v \le n$, and in (25) if and only if $d_2 = d_3 = \cdots = d_n$. The inequality (24) was proved in [19].

References

- [1] N. L. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [2] S. B. Bozkurt, D. Bozkurt, Sharp bounds for energy and Randić energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 70 (2013) 669–680.
- [3] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, Graphs of extended weights, Ars. Comb. 50 (1998) 225–233.
- [4] S. Butler, Eigenvalues and structures of graphs, Ph. D., Univ. of California, San Diego, 2008.

- M. Cavers, The normalized Laplacian matrix and general Randić index of graphs, Ph. D., Univ. Regina, Regina, 2010.
- [6] H. Chen, F. Zhang, Resistance distance and the normalized Laplacian spectrum, Discr. Appl. Math. 155 (2007) 654–661.
- [7] F. R. K. Chung, Spectral Graph Theory, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- [8] S. M. Cioaba, Sums of powers of the degrees of a graph, Discr. Math. 306 (2006) 1959–1964.
- [9] K. C. Das, Maximazing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, Discr. Math. 285 (2004) 57–66.
- [10] N. De, Some bounds of reformulated Zagreb indices, Appl. Math. Sci. 6 (101) (2012) 5005–5012.
- [11] T. Došlić, T. Reti, D. Vukićević, On the vertex degree indices of connected graphs, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **512** (2011) 283–286.
- [12] G. H. Fath-Tabar, Old and new Zagreb indices of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 79–84.
- [13] G. H. Fath–Tabar, R. Ashrafi, Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs, *Math. Commun.* 5 (2010) 443–451.
- [14] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, J. Math. Chem. 53 (2015) 1184–1190.
- [15] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math. Stat. Sekt. Forshungz. Graz. 103 (1978) 1–22.
- [16] I. Gutman, B. Mohar, The quasi-Wiener and Kirchhoff indices coincide, J. Chem. Int. Comput. Sci. 36 (1996) 982-985.
- [17] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbits. Total π-electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.
- [18] S. Hosamani, B. Basavanagoud, New upper bounds for the first Zagreb index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 74 (2015) 97–101.
- [19] A. Ilić, M. Ilić, B. Liu, On the upper bounds for the first Zagreb index, Kragujevac J. Math. 35 (1) (2011) 173–182.
- [20] A. Ilić, B. Zhou, On reformulated Zagreb indices, Discr. Appl. Math. 160 (2012) 204–209.
- [21] J. Li, W. C. Shiu, A. Chang, On the Laplacian Estrada index of a graph, Appl. Anal. Discr. Math. 3 (2009) 147–156.
- [22] X. Li, I. Gutman, Mathematical Aspects of Randić-Type Molecular Structure Descriptors, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2006.
- [23] X. Li, Y. Shi, I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.

- [24] X. Li, H. Zhao, Trees with the first smallest and largest generalized topological indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 50 (2004) 57–62.
- [25] X. Li, J. Zheng, A unified approach to the extremal trees for different indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 195–208.
- [26] B. Liu, I. Gutman, Estimation the Zagreb and the general Randić indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57 (2007) 617–632.
- [27] B. Liu, I. Gutman, On general Randić indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 518–593.
- [28] M. Liu, B. Liu, New sharp upper bounds for the first Zagreb index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 62 (2009) 689–698.
- [29] M. Lu, H. Liu, F. Tian, The connectivity index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 51 (2004) 149–154.
- [30] A. Milićević, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, On reformulated Zagreb indices, *Mol. Divers.* 8 (2004) 393–399.
- [31] I. Milovanović, I. Gutman, E. Milovanović, On Kirchhoff and degree Kirchhoff indices, *Filomat* 29 (2015) 1869–1877.
- [32] I. Ż. Milovanović, E. I. Milovanović, A. Zakić, A short note on graph energy, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 72 (2014) 179–182.
- [33] E. I. Milovanović, I. Z. Milovanović, Sharp bounds for the first Zagreb index and first Zagreb coindex, *Miskolc Math. Notes* 16 (2015) 1017–1024.
- [34] D. S. Mitrinović, P. M. Vasić, Analytic Inequalities, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [35] M. Randić, On characterization of molecular branching, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 6609–6615.
- [36] B. C. Rennie, On a class of inequalities, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 3 (1963) 442–448.
- [37] T. Reti, On the relationships between the first and second Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 68 (2012) 169–188.
- [38] G. Tian, T. Huang, S. Cui, Bounds on the algebraic connectivity of graphs, Adv. Math. 41 (2) (2012) 217–224.
- [39] Z. You, B. Liu, On the Laplacian spectral ratio of connected graphs, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) 1245–1250.
- [40] B. Zhou, On sum of powers of the Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs, *Lin. Algebra Appl.* 4 (29) (2008) 2239–2246.
- [41] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Further properties of Zagreb indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 54 (2005) 233–239.
- [42] P. Zumstein, Comparison of spectral methods through the adjacency matrix and the Laplacian of a graph, Th. Diploma, ETH Zürich, 2005.